AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER
Hollister-Gilroy	Coastal	725-10-001
EVALUATED BY	- Language	DATE
J. Banister		03/03/2010

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF EVALUATION Formal Evaluation Informal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE	
FOLLOW-UP REQUIRED	COMMANDER'S REVIEW	DATE
☐ Yes ☑ No	- Yallenfon CAPT	4-6-10
1. COMMAND INVOLVEMENT	Yes No	CORRECTED
Does the command emphasize importance of proper enforcer incidence of injuries incurred by officers?	ment tactics to achieve the lowest possible	
(1) Does the commander stress importance of proper enforce	ement tactics, including use of force?	✓ Yes 🗌 No
(2) Does the safety record of the command reflect an awarer	ness of proper tactics?	✓ Yes □ No
(3) Do the officers' CHP 100 and CHP 118s, Performance Apsafety?	ppraisals, contain comments on officer	✓ Yes □ No
b. Are the commander and lieutenants knowledgeable of enforce proper use of force, and the correct use of safety equipment?		☑ Yes □ No
(1) Is this knowledge applied properly in critiques of incidents	s involving officers and sergeants?	✓ Yes □ No
(2) Do the captain and lieutenants maintain a minimum level	of enforcement skills?	✓ Yes □ No
(a) Do they attend officer safety training sessions?		
(b) If they are not involved in officer safety, what are the	reasons?	
2. TRAINING AND CERTIFICATION	Yes No	CORRECTED
a. Do training records indicate formal training has been received	d and certified?	✓ Yes No
(1) Do records reflect annual certification of traffic officers an tactics, physical methods of arrest, and the proper use of certifications been recorded for:		
(a) Searching techniques.		
(b) Handcuffing.		✓ Yes □ No
(c) Use of safety equipment.		☑ Yes ☐ No
(d) Suspect control.		✓ Yes □ No
(e) High risk and felony stops.		✓ Yes ☐ No
(f) Hostage control.		✓ Yes □ No
(g) Prisoner transportation.		✓ Yes □ No
(h) Radio control head operation.		✓ Yes □ No

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

_						
	(2)) Is the command dedicating enough time toward training	g?		✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Do training records reflect certifications for officers	and sergeants are c	urrent?	✓ Yes	□No
		(b) Is there an established follow-up procedure to ass and sergeants?	ure timely recertificat	on of all officers		□No
ŀ		o Area supervisors review CHP 121s, CHP 121As, pursui mploy general observations to determine if proper enforce			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)) Are well-handled incidents recorded for future training	purposes?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Are use of force situations closely reviewed to ascertai and what level of force, is justified?	n if all uniformed pers	onnel understand when,	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Does an examination of CHP 100, CHP 118s, and being made?	citizen complaints in	dicate a through review is	✓ Yes	□No
		(b) Do Area supervisors notify those officers who are is made available?	not proficient and ens	ure refresher training	✓ Yes	□No
C	c. Is	refresher training required prior to certification?			Yes	✓ No
	(1)	Are the number of training hours necessary to accomp	lish certification indica	ated on the CHP 270?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Is any pattern of training weakness apparent?			Yes	✓ No
		(b) Have necessary remedial steps been taken to ass categories?	ure thorough and cor	tinuous proficiency in all	✓ Yes	□No
C	d. Do	oes the command have an adequate number of instructor	s?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Is instructor proficiency maintained?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Has an individual been given responsibility for the prog	ram?		✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Does that individual ensure the quality and level of	f proficiency is mainta	ined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Are there adequate and properly maintained facilities a	nd equipment availal	ole for officer safety training	? ✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	What is the quality and quantity of the training being gi	ven? Officer safety	raining is emphasized in the	e Area during b	riefings.
		Periodic training is accomplished through SROVT, di	scussion of use of for	ce incidents/pursuits/shooti	ngs, CEW depl	oyments
		from MIS. Area CEW instructors provide periodic tra	nining as needed.			
	(5)	Have the supervisor and his/her alternate received pro	per training?		✓ Yes	□No
3. 5	SAFE	TY EQUIPMENT	Yes	No No	CORRECTED)
а		Oleoresin Capsicum (OC) spray (pepper spray) carried b nile on duty, in uniform?	y all uniformed perso	nnel, captain and below,	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Is OC spray used when the need is indicated? Are not is utilized to subdue a subject?	ations made on book	ing sheets when OC spray	☐Yes	□No
	(2)	When an officer is assaulted and an injury occurs, are spray on the CHP 121?	the supervisors noting	g the use/nonuse of OC	☐Yes	□No
	(3)	Are individuals who are exposed to OC spray decontar water within 30 minutes?	ninated by flushing th	e affected area with clear	□Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

_							
			(a) Do Area patrol cars carry at least two 500 mil. bottles o	f saline solution?		✓ Yes	□No
			(b) Are officers/sergeants familiar with the decontamination	and first-aid procedure?	>	✓ Yes	□No
	b.	Are	officers/sergeants familiar with the function of their duty hols	iters?		✓ Yes	□No
		(1)	Can officers/sergeants draw and fire their weapon, re-holste the safety strap with one hand?	er and without looking at	the holster, fasten	✓Yes	□No
		(2)	Can officers and sergeants draw and fire their weapons with	nin one and a half second	ds, using one hand?	✓ Yes	□No
		(3)	Is there personal confirmation by the testing officer that all wrelated exercises?	veapons are unloaded pr	ior to holster-	✓ Yes	□No
	C.	Are	officers/sergeants proficient in reloading their weapons?			✓ Yes	□No
	d.	Do	officers/sergeants routinely practice with their batons?	fficers/sergeants routinely practice with their batons?			
		(1)	Do officers/sergeants carry their batons on all enforcement		✓ Yes	□No	
		(2)	Can officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate approved l	✓ Yes	□No		
	e.	Do	all uniformed personnel wear body armor?		✓ Yes	□No	
		(1)	Were required reports submitted to Supply Services Unit, per armor was struck by a bullet or other penetrating type instruc	Yes	□No		
			(a) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physical	☐ Yes	□No		
	f.		holsters, ammunition, magazines, magazine pouches, hand ectors inspected in conjunction with the annual performance	I OC spray	✓ Yes	□No	
		(1)	Do CHP 311 forms indicate compliance?		✓ Yes	□No	
		(2)	Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection	1?		✓ Yes	□No
4.	FIF	REA	RMS	Yes Yes	No REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
	a.	Has	the requirement for quarterly review of policy regulating disc	charge of firearms been o	compiled with?	✓ Yes	□No
		(1)	Do officers thoroughly understand the policy?			✓ Yes	□No
			(a) Do incidents involving firearms show proper understand	ling of the policy?		Yes	□No
	b.	Are	shoots conducted as required by policy?			✓ Yes	□No
		(1)	Have steps been taken to correct training deficiencies?			✓ Yes	□No
		(2)	Are weapons training and maintenance records readily avail	able? Current?		✓ Yes	□No
		(3) Do training records show qualification with all authorized weapons, day/night shoots, etc.?					□No
	c.	Doe	s the Area have a range officer?			✓ Yes	□No
		(1)	Has the officer completed Academy training for range officer	✓ Yes	□No		
		(2)	Does the officer supervise all shoots?		✓ Yes	□No	
		(3)	Is the officer well-organized in his/her training?			✓ Yes	□No
		(4)	Is there a designated alternate to the range officer?			✓ Yes	□No
			(a) Has that officer received Academy training?			✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

(d. Are range facilities adequate for pistol, rifle, shotgun and night shoots?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) If not, has alternate training been established and plans developed to obtain adequate facilities?	☐ Yes	□No
	(a) Do plans follow instructions for range contract renegotiations?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Have future range needs been considered?	✓ Yes	□No
6	e. Is an effective and efficient inventory process for shotguns, rifles, and ammunition in place?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Have shotguns been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	☐ No
	(a) Are all shotguns accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Are shotguns fired annually to ensure operable condition?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Have tactical rifles been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all tactical rifles accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Is there adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried by on-duty officers?	✓ Yes	□No
	(d) Is there an effective method for assignment and control?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Is there a procedure in place to periodically audit ammunition? Are the following steps in the audit process taken?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Beginning inventory determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Has the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as returned (unused) ammunition been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(d) Has a physical inventory of ammunition been taken?	✓ Yes	□No
	(e) Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according to the inventory record?	✓ Yes	□No
	(f) Have rounds issued per training records been compared to rounds fired per shooting rosters?	✓ Yes	□No
	(g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested?	✓ Yes	□No
	(h) When ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, is the merchandise inspected, quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt	✓ Yes	□No
	acknowledged immediately upon delivery?	✓ Yes	□ No
f.		<u>[v]</u> 1∈2	
	(1) Does location of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or about the office in the event of an accidental discharge?	✓ Yes	□No
g	Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do the dates recorded on the various records correspond to the actual date training was conducted?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Do training dates correspond to the activity information on the employee's CHP 415?	✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

_				
	(3	B) Do training dates closely correspond to the dates ammunition was issued for training (per invent records)?	ory ☑ Yes	□No
	(4	Was ammunition issued for training (per inventory records) compared with the actual amount ex (per the shooting roster)?	pended ☑ Yes	□No
		(a) Once done, was the disposition of any unused ammunition verified for those training days to	ested?	□No
	(5	Are records kept updated as training takes place?	✓ Yes	□No
	(6	s) Is training recorded on the employee's CHP 270 and in ETRS?	✓ Yes	□No
	(7) Is required information recorded in accordance with established guidelines and instructions?	✓ Yes	□No
	(8	l) Is a roster maintained for each shoot which includes all pertinent information (type of shoot, scordate, etc.)?	res, ✓ Yes	□No
		there a procedure in place which ensures the person processing the ammunition requisition is not volved with the receiving and recording of ammunition inventory?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)) Is a similar procedure in place which ensures the person recording weapons training information involved with handling and recording ammunition?	ı is not ☐ Yes	√ No
	(2)	Is access to the ammunition storage and inventory records limited to the ammunition officer and supervisor or backup employee?	✓ Yes	□No
	i. If A	Area has a resident post (RP), what procedures are used to ensure weapons training of RP officers	?	
	(1)) If RP handles ammunition, are proper accountability procedures in place?	☐ Yes	□No
	j. Are	e required inspections conducted in conjunction with the annual CHP 118?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)) Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted every six months?	✓ Yes	□No
5.	PHYS	SICAL METHODS OF ARREST EVALUATED Yes No	IRED CORRECT	D
	a. Do	o officers practice weaponless defense?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)) Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)) Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familiarized themselves with weaponless	defense?	□No
	b. W	ere demonstrations of the following control techniques by officers observed:		
	(1)) Control holds.	√ Yes	□ No
	(2)) Punches.	✓ Yes	□ No
	(3)) Strikes.	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)) Blocks.	✓ Yes	□ No
	(5)) Defensive kicks.	✓ Yes	□ No
	(6)) Defenses against grabs.	✓ Yes	□No
	(7)) Defenses against weapons.		☐ No
	(8)	Ground defense and takedowns.	✓ Yes	□No
	(9)	Placing and removing suspects into and from vehicles.	✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

	<u> </u>						
. We	ere observ	ations of practical handcu	ffing techniques mad	de?		✓ Yes	□ No
(1)			ndcuffs to a suspect	who is standing, kne	eling, prone, or	✓ Yes	□No
(2)) Are all u	niformed personnel knowl	edgeable of departm	nental policy on hand	cuffing?	✓ Yes	□No
. Ar	e all perso	ns subjected to physical a	rrest searched for of	ffensive weapons?		✓ Yes	□No
(1)	Has the	local jail's experience with	CHP arrests been r	reviewed?		☐Yes	✓ No
(2)	Has a pr	actical demonstration of p	reliminary frisks and	I thorough searches b	een observed?	✓ Yes	□No
(3)	Do all of	ficers know guidelines per	taining to searches	of the opposite sex as	s outlined in policy?	✓ Yes	□No
NFO	RCEMEN	TACTICS		Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED)
				dures which should b	e followed during each	✓ Yes	□No
				fety during enforcem	ent stops and when	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Were demonstrations of an enforcement stop observed which show the officers' ability to safely control the situation at all times regardless of the level of hazard presented?						✓ Yes	□No
(a) Is the violator stop effectively made?						✓ Yes	□No
(b) Is the violator completely controlled?						✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Is th	e prisoner properly prepa	red for transportation	n?		✓ Yes	□No
		ence of pre-planning and o	coordination with allie	ed agencies to prepa	re beat officers for hostage	☐ Yes	✓ No
(1)			limited to containme	ent of the incident un	til relieved by the authority	✓ Yes	□No
(2)	Are office	ers aware of the need to n	naintain fire disciplin	e at all times?		✓ Yes	□No
(3)	Are office egress to	ers knowledgeable of thei	r responsibility to de area if required, and	tain potential witness d render necessary m	es, control ingress and edical aid?	✓ Yes	□No
(4)		The state of the s	sors questioned to de	etermine their knowle	dge of the CHP role in	✓ Yes	□No
URS	UITS			Yes	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
Are	e all uniforr	med personnel well-verse	d in policy regarding		its?	✓ Yes	□No
(1)	Number	of units?				✓ Yes	□No
(2)	When to	discontinue?				✓ Yes	□No
(3)			determine if the purs	suits comply with enfo	prcement guidelines	√ Yes	□No
	(a) Whe	re noncompliance is indic	ated, were correctiv	e actions taken?		□Yes	□No
	Does the Area have written guidelines or plans to ensure proper coordination with allied agencies during pursuits?						
	(1) (2) . Arr (1) (2) (3) . Do of . Do ap (1) (2) (3) (4) URS Arc (1) (2)	(1) Can office uncooped (2) Are all u Are all perso (1) Has the (2) Has a pr (3) Do all office of the five op Do officers has apprehending (1) Were de the situations? (1) Us the cooped of the five op Local Is the cooped of the situations? (1) Do office having juically Are office of the situations? (2) Are office of the situations? (3) Are office of the situations? (4) Were van hostage in the situations? (5) Were van hostage in the situations? (1) Number of the situation of the situations? (2) When to (3) Were pur listed in present of the situation of the sit	(1) Can officers successfully apply ha uncooperative? (2) Are all uniformed personnel knowl. Are all persons subjected to physical at (1) Has the local jail's experience with (2) Has a practical demonstration of possible (3) Do all officers know guidelines per consistence in the five options of an enforcement success apprehending suspected or known crimically when the situation at all times regardless (a) Is the violator stop effectively (b) Is the violator completely context (c) Is the prisoner properly preparable situations? (1) Do officers understand their role is having jurisdiction? (2) Are officers aware of the need to most (3) Are officers who will also the scene, evacuate the context (4) Were various officers and supervisions hostage incidents? URSUITS Are all uniformed personnel well-verses (1) Number of units? (2) When to discontinue?	 (1) Can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect uncooperative? (2) Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of departner. Are all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for ore (1) Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been (2) Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and (3) Do all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches (3) Do sergeants and officers have knowledge of proper proce of the five options of an enforcement stop? Do sergeants and officers have knowledge of proper proce of the five options of an enforcement stop? Do officers have a constant awareness of their personal seapprehending suspected or known criminals? (1) Were demonstrations of an enforcement stop observe the situation at all times regardless of the level of haza (a) Is the violator stop effectively made? (b) Is the violator completely controlled? (c) Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation Is there evidence of pre-planning and coordination with allistituations? (1) Do officers understand their role is limited to containm having jurisdiction? (2) Are officers knowledgeable of their responsibility to deegress to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and (4) Were various officers and supervisors questioned to dhostage incidents? URSUITS Are all uniformed personnel well-versed in policy regarding (1) Number of units? (2) When to discontinue? (3) Were pursuit critiques checked to determine if the pursuitsed in policy? 	uncooperative? (2) Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of departmental policy on hand. Are all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offensive weapons? (1) Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been reviewed? (2) Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and thorough searches be a precision of preliminary frisks and thorough searches be a proper procedure with composite sex at the process of the opposite sex at the process of the opposite sex at the process of the opposite sex at the process of the process of the opposite sex at the process of the process of the opposite sex at the process of the process of the opposite sex at the process of the process of the opposite sex at the process of the process of the opposite sex at the process of the process of the opposite sex at the process of the process of the opposite sex at the process of the process of the process of the safety during enforcement stop? (a) Do officers have a constant awareness of their personal safety during enforcement process of the level of hazard presented? (b) Is the violator stop effectively made? (c) Is the violator stop effectively made? (d) Is the violator completely controlled? (e) Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation? Is there evidence of pre-planning and coordination with allied agencies to preparsituations? (1) Do officers understand their role is limited to containment of the incident unitary purisdiction? (2) Are officers wave of the need to maintain fire discipline at all times? (3) Are officers knowledgeable of their responsibility to detain potential witness egress to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and render necessary methods of the process of the scene, evacuate the area if required, and render necessary methods of the process	(1) Can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect who is standing, kneeling, prone, or uncooperative? (2) Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of departmental policy on handcuffing? Are all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offensive weapons? (1) Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been reviewed? (2) Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and thorough searches been observed? (3) Do all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches of the opposite sex as outlined in policy? (3) Do all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches of the opposite sex as outlined in policy? (4) Fractuater Yes Noon Recourse Noon of the five options of an enforcement stop? (5) Do sergeants and officers have knowledge of proper procedures which should be followed during each of the five options of an enforcement stop? (6) Do officers have a constant awareness of their personal safety during enforcement stops and when apprehending suspected or known criminals? (7) Were demonstrations of an enforcement stop observed which show the officers' ability to safely control the situation at all times regardless of the level of hazard presented? (a) Is the violator stop effectively made? (b) Is the violator completely controlled? (c) Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation? Is there evidence of pre-planning and coordination with allied agencies to prepare beat officers for hostage situations? (1) Do officers understand their role is limited to containment of the incident until relieved by the authority having jurisdiction? (2) Are officers aware of the need to maintain fire discipline at all times? (3) Are officers knowledgeable of their responsibility to detain potential witnesses, control ingress and egress to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and render necessary medical aid? (4) Were various officers and supervisors questioned to determine their knowledge of the CHP role in hostage incidents? (7) Non REQUIRED Yes Non REQUIRED No No No No No N	(1) Can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect who is standing, kneeling, prone, or uncooperative? (2) Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of departmental policy on handcuffing? (3) Are all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offensive weapons? (4) Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been reviewed? (5) Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and thorough searches been observed? (6) Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and thorough searches been observed? (7) Ves (8) Do all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches of the opposite sex as outlined in policy? (8) NFORCEMENT TACTICS (8) PVEU NATED (9) ACTION REQUIRED (10) Sergeants and officers have knowledge of proper procedures which should be followed during each of the five options of an enforcement stop? (11) Were demonstrations of an enforcement stop observed which show the officers ability to safely control the situation at all times regardless of the level of hazard presented? (12) Yes (13) Is the violator stop effectively made? (14) Is the violator completely controlled? (15) Is the prisoner property prepared for transportation? (16) Is the prisoner property prepared for transportation? (17) Yes (18) Do officers understand their role is limited to containment of the incident until relieved by the authority having jurisdiction? (2) Are officers knowledgeable of their responsibility to detain potential witnesses, control ingress and egress to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and render necessary medical aid? (4) Were various officers and supervisors questioned to determine their knowledge of the CHP role in hostage incidents? (8) Ves (9) Were various officers and supervisors questioned to determine their knowledge of the CHP role in hostage incidents? (9) Ves (19) Number of units? (10) Were pursuit critiques checked to determine if the pursuits comply with enforcement guidelines listed in policy?

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

	(1)	Are any written agreements on file?		Yes	✓ No	
	(2)	Is Division involved in the planning process?			Yes	✓ No
	(3)	Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailor	ed to the specific ne	eeds of the command?	☐ Yes	✓ No
8. F	ORCI	BLE STOPS	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a.	Are	Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy on for	cible stops?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Does the Area follow departmental policy?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for compliance v	✓ Yes	□No		
		(a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has conducted?	corrective action be	een taken or training	☐ Yes	□No
9. R	9. ROADBLOCKS EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED Yes No					
a.		s the Area worked with allied agencies to develop plans for the hollow spike strip?	establishing roadblo	ocks and deployment	☐ Yes	✓ No
	(1)	Are strategic points and personnel assignments outlined?	,		☐ Yes	✓ No
	(2)	Have the officers received instructions on the proper meth	ods of establishing	roadblocks?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(3)	Have interagency training sessions been conducted?			Yes	✓ No
10. I	RADIO	O FAMILIARIZATION	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTE)
а.	Are	✓ Yes	□No			
b.	Car	n officers demonstrate how to change the radio from their h	✓ Yes	□No		
С.	Can	officers efficiently operate all emergency equipment from	the radio head?	1	✓ Yes	□No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION
OFFICER SAFETY
CHP 453S

SUPPLEMENTAL

1. COMMAND INVOLVEMENT

1.a. Captain Saxon reported to the Area in January 2010 and has placed a strong emphasis on officer safety and training. Captain Saxon attended a PMA training session in March 2010 and additionally has been proactive in forwarding and disseminating officer safety bulletins and information to the Area supervisors. The Hollister-Gilroy Area does not have any lieutenants assigned, so the captain works directly with the sergeants on officer safety issues.

2. TRAINING AND CERTIFICATION

- 2.a. The Hollister-Gilroy Area conducted its annual PMA certifications in December 2009. All personnel passed at that time. For this evaluation, PMA Instructor, Officer G. Nesinov, ID#18014, conducted PMA certifications of a representative sample of uniformed personnel. A sergeant, two patrol officers, and a special duty officer were selected for certification. All personnel passed their certification. Personnel included Sergent S. Temple ID#9400, Officers S. Parro ID#12521 J. Rios ID#12100 and J. Young D. 1973
- 2.a.(2) The command dedicates significant time toward officer safety training. The Area has become a training area over the past several years as many new officers have reported to the Area from the Academy. With this in mind, Area sergeants are proactive in frequently disseminating officer safety information during briefings through SROVT involving PMA techniques, policy review and updates, and ride-alongs. The Area Training Officer conducts proactive range training with all personnel.
- 2.b.(2)(a) Area sergeants frequently utilize the CHP 100 to provide officer safety feedback and training to specific personnel involved in arrests/incidents. In addition, CHP 100 form occupational safety comments periodically cover OST/PMA issues.
- 2.c. Refresher training is not required but is offered approximately 1 month prior to certification to any personnel request it.
- 2.d. The Area currently has three PMA instructors.
- 2.d.(4) The quality of training is adequate. As the Hollister-Gilroy Area has a constant influx of new officers reporting to the Area, the new officers are frequently asked to demonstrate PMA techniques during shift briefings.

3. SAFETY EQUIPMENT

- 3.a.(1) No officers utilized OC spray in an enforcement encounter during 2009.
- 3.a.(2) Not applicable in 2009.

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY CHP 453S

SUPPLEMENTAL

- 3.a.(3) Not applicable in 2009.
- 3.b.(1) The Area Training Officer has verified that all personnel have accomplished this during qualifications with the departmental weapon.
- 3.c. The Hollister-Gilroy range staff frequently incorporates loading drills into monthly range training including clearing of malfunctions and "support" hand only re-loading.
- 3.e.1. Not applicable in 2009.
- 3.f. The audit revealed all surveyed personnel had an annual equipment inspection.

4. FIREARMS

- 4.a.(1) While conducting PMA certifications for this audit, Sergeant Banister questioned the participants as to their knowledge of the Discharge of Firearms policy. All personnel had a proper understanding of the policy although the junior officers had difficulty utilizing the verbiage from the policy.
- 4.b. Required shoots are being conducted. The audit revealed that one special duty officer missed her required quarterly pistol, rifle, and shotgun shoots.
- 4.d.(1). Although not currently used, the Hollister-Gilroy Area has access to the Hollister P. D. range and San Benito S. O. range.
- 4.e. The training officer utilizes a pre-printed monthly checklist to inventory rifles and shotguns. Shotguns and rifles are being inventoried as required.
- 4.e.(1)(b) The Area Training Officer position changed hands in May 2009. It was discovered in December 2009 that the new training officer had not completed all quarterly shotgun inspections in the third quarter. The Area had sufficient trained weapons officers to assist the training officer; however, the training officer did not avail himself of their assistance. Additional training was provided to the training officer, and all but a few shotguns were inspected in the fourth quarter of 2009. As of the first quarter 2010, all shotguns are on track to be inspected.
- 4.e.(2)(b) The Area Training Officer position changed hands in May 2009. It was discovered in December 2009 that the training officer had not completed all quarterly rifle inspections in the third quarter. The Area had sufficient trained weapons officers to assist the training officer; however, the training officer did not avail himself of their assistance. Additional training was provided to the training officer, and all but a few rifles were inspected in the fourth quarter of 2009. As of the first quarter 2010, all rifles are on track to be inspected.

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY CHP 4538

SUPPLEMENTAL

- 4.e.(3) There currently is not a procedure to periodically audit ammunition. The Administrative Sergeant will begin a quarterly audit effective immediately.
- 4.e.(3).(e) The .40 caliber and rifle ammunition balances are correct. There is a discrepancy with our shotgun ammunition. When the current Area Training Officer assumed his position, he began intermixing birdshot and buckshot. The two inventories have been combined.
- 4.h. On one occasion in 2009, an independent verifier was not used to check in the requisition. The Area Training Officer was aware of this requirement; this was considered a one time error.
- 4.h.1. The Training Officer has not been having an independent verifier check in the ammunition. The Administrative Sergeant will advise the training officer that this protocol needs to be followed.

5. PHYSICAL METHODS OF ARREST

- 5.a.(2) Not applicable in 2009.
- 5.c.(1) All personnel participating in the audit did a proficient job handcuffing. Officers S. Parra and J. Yount did an exceptional job.
- 5.d.(1) No problems with CHP personnel have been reported.
- 5.d.(2) All personnel participating in the audit did a proficient job conducting a preliminary frisk and standing search. Officers S. Parra and J. Yount did an exceptional job.

6. ENFORCEMENT TACTICS

- 6.a. All personnel participating in the evaluation had knowledge of the proper procedures.
- 6.b.(1) These observations have been made by Area sergeants during annual and FTEP ridealongs:
- 6.c. There are no formal protocols with allied agencies. In the past, Gilroy P. D. has conducted active shooter drills at the local high school campus in which CHP personnel have participated. No current training or drills are scheduled.

7. PURSUITS

7.a. All personnel participating in the evaluation were aware of CHP pursuit policies.

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY CHP 4538

SUPPLEMENTAL

7.b. The Area does not have a written plan with allied agencies for pursuits. The Area has been involved in pursuits over the last several years, and allied agencies have acted in accordance with CHP policies.

8. FORCIBLE STOPS

8.a. Of the four uniformed personnel surveyed on this issue during this audit, three of the four had a proper knowledge of the Forcible Stops policy. One officer was not completely familiar with the policy, but through subsequent discussion, that officer was made aware of the policy.

9. ROADBLOCKS

9.a. The Area does not have a plan for establishing roadblocks or deployment of the spike strip.

10. RADIO FAMILIARIZATION

10. All officers and sergeants completed training CHP Radio Frequency Training in December 2009.

J. BANISTER, Sergeant

Date:

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM **EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT**

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Hollister-Gilroy	Coastal	17
Inspected by: J. Banister	Date:3/24/2010	

Page 1 of 4				
INSTRUCTIONS: This document sha number of the inspection in the Chapt shall be routed to and its due date. T improvement, identified deficiencies,	er Inspecti nis docum	on number. Under "Forwa ent shall be utilized to doc	ard to:" enter the nex ument innovative pr	Il in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter kt level of command where the document actices, suggestions for statewide e used if additional space is required.
TYPE OF INSPECTION Division Level Command Executive Office Level	d Level	Total hours expended inspection:	d on the	☐ Corrective Action Plan Included ☐ Attachments Included
Follow-up Required: ☐ Yes ☑ No	Division	ord to: Coastal on Date: 03/31/10		
Chapter Inspection:		and the laws	4,1,2,1,1,4,5,0	
Inspector's Comments Reg	arding I	nnovative Practices		
The Hollister-Gilroy Area ha are dedicated to general saf The local information is usef Command Suggestions for	ety issu ul for C	es and one specific ALGRIP operations	ally to local wai	poards in the briefing room. Two nted and high risk individuals.
The Hollister-Gilroy Area cu	rently her in the two we	as three PMA instruction coming year. In reset class. It is recours to accomplish a	cent years, it ha mmended that nnual certificati	
Inspector's Findings:				
and ammunition inventory p 2009 unrelated to this specif	ocedure ic evalu	es. The rifle/shotguation. The Adminis	in inspection is: strative Sergear	nspections of rifles and shotguns sue was discovered in December at provided additional direction and irement currently conforms to

With regards to the ammunition inventory, the Area Training Officer unintentionally mixed two different types of shotgun ammunition on his inventory sheet. This issue has been rectified. Some of the check procedures concerning the verification of incoming /used ammunition were not being followed. The Area Training Officer is aware of the policy requirements and has immediately implemented them.

Commander's Response	□ Concur or □ Do Not Concur	(Do Not Concur shall document basis for response)

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2 of 4

Command: Hollister-Gilroy	Division: Coastal	Chapter: 17
Inspected by:	Date:3/24/2010	
J. Banister		

Inspector's Comments: Shall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised, findings unchanged, etc.)

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 3 of 4

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Hollister-Gilroy	Coastal	17
Inspected by:		Date:3/24/2010
J. Banister		

Required Action		
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline		

This inspection discovered inaccurate record-keeping by the weapons/training officer related to the quarterly ammunition audits. It was determined that ammunition audits were not documented for the calendar year of 2009. Shotgun 'training' rounds (birdshot) were used during required training exercises, but the counts were deducted from the 'service' round (00 buck) inventory. During this audit, the counts for 'training' rounds and 'service' rounds did not match. However, the total number of shotgun rounds did match.

The inconsistent record-keeping has been addressed with the weapons/training officer. The administrative sergeant has been directed to conduct quarterly ammunition audits as required by policy. To ensure that no 'training' rounds were accidentally deployed to the field, a briefing item was immediately issued directing all uniformed employees to check their assigned vehicle shotgun for '00 buck' rounds. No 'training' rounds were located in the deployed shotguns.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 4 of 4

Command: Hollister-Gilroy	Division: Coastal	Chapter:
Inspected by:		Date:3/24/2010
J. Banister		

Employee would like to discuss this report with	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
the reviewer.	4/1/1	11-15
(See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	Waston CAPT	4-5-10
	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE
	(A Bant	4-6-10
Reviewer discussed this report with	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
employee		1 1
☐ Concur ☐ Do not concur	1200cm	4/27/

96x1) D12C1228D M/commans.